

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,239	01/20/2000	Norikane Nabata	Q57646	2929
75	590 11/15/2002			
Sughrue Mion Macpeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			VO, HAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	1(
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			1 2 11 11 11	AS1			
`		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/487,239	NABATA ET A	L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3'SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory min ry period will apply and will expire the statute cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	na communication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>7/8 /o</u> z.					
2a)⊠		☐ This action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-11 is/are pending in	the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		ation.				
	Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 3, 5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object						
11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a)  The translation of the foreign langual  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional applicat	ion has been received.				
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	4)					

Application/Control Number: 09/487,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claim 4 has been cancelled in the amendment received on 10/09/2002.

### Claim Objections

 Claims 2, 3, 5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3, lines 3 and 7, the term "polytetrafluorethylene" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 5,772,884) in view of Herding et al (US 5,547,481) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 9.
- 5. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 5,772,884) in view of Herding et al (US 5,547,481) as applied to claim 3 above, further in view of Dauber et al (US 5,916,671) substantially as set forth in Paper no. 9.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6, and 8-11 are allowed. The reasons for allowance have been given in the Paper no. 6.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/487,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

 Applicant's arguments filed 10/07/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

8. The 103 art rejections have been maintained because of the following reasons. First, Applicants argue that none of the cited prior art discloses or suggests a laminate that forms an enclosed space. However, the language "for forming an enclosed space to hold an absorbent" is considered as the intended-use limitation. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed lamination is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed lamination from a prior art air filter medium satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Second, since an enclosed space is not part of the claims, the position of polytetrafluoroethylene porous film within the enclosed space set forth in the claims is not found to be limiting in any patentable sense.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 1771

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV November 7, 2002 TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700